

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 • www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/622,571		07/21/2003	Masaru Iriya	0071-0528P	4799	
2292	7590	08/24/2005		EXAMINER		
		RT KOLASCH &	MIGGINS, MICHAEL C			
PO BOX 1 FALLS C		, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
		,		1772		
				DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_ )
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/622,571	IRIYA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael C. Miggins	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address -	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the period by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirty iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	June 2005.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits	s is
closed in accordance with the practice under	er <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b) $\square$ objected to t	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	÷, ,		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form P1O-152	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Aporiority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment/c)			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	)/Mail Date  formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 1772

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on 6/10/05, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds for rejection areset forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
  - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Delaney (US 2083007).

Delaney disclose an electronic unit (page 1, column 1, lines 7-28) comprising a housing (page 1, column 1, lines 1-6), at least two electronic elements contained within

Art Unit: 1772

said housing (page 1, column 1, lines 1-6), a potting compound surrounding said electronic elements (page 1, column 1, lines 1-6) comprising first composition which when used alone applies a given force to elements encase within (the halogenated naphthalene/bitumen exerts a shrinkage force on the elements encased, see page 1, column 1, line 28 through page 1, column 2, line 3), and a second composition which attenuates said force when subjected to thermal cycling (the natural wax corrects shrinkage during heating, see page 1, column 1, line 28 through page 1, column 2, line 3) (applies to instant claims 1 and 5).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Delaney (US 2083007) in view of Calhoun (US 5726386).

Delaney discloses a potting compound comprising asphalt (page 1, column 1, lines 5-15).

The difference between the instant claims and Delaney is that Delaney fails to disclose the potting compound comprising a mixture of asphalt and sand.

Calhoun discloses a potting compound comprising a mixture of asphalt and sand (column 5, lines 1-15) for potting electrical components for the purpose of providing

Application/Control Number: 10/622,571

Art Unit: 1772

improved flow rates and higher electrical power, and allows precise control of the amount and temperature of the potting compound (applies to instant claims 2 and 6).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to have provided a mixture of asphalt and sand in the potting compound of Delaney in order to provide improved flow rates and higher electrical power, and allows precise control of the amount and temperature of the potting compound as taught or suggested by Calhoun.

6. Claims 3-4 and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Delaney (US 2083007) in view of Earing (US 4022635).

The difference between the instant claims and Delaney is that Delaney fail to disclose a potting compound comprising a solvent refined paraffinic petroleum oil, wherein said paraffinic petroleum oil comprises about 0.1 to 20 wt% of said compound.

Earing discloses a potting compound comprising a solvent refined paraffinic petroleum oil, wherein said paraffinic petroleum oil comprises about 0.1 to 20 wt% of said compound (column 4, lines 30-64) for the purpose of providing improved physical properties to the potting compound (applies to instant claims 3-4 and 7-8).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to have provided a solvent refined paraffinic petroleum oil, wherein said paraffinic petroleum oil comprises about 0.1 to 20 wt% of said compound in the potting compound of Delaney in order to provide improved physical properties to the potting compound as taught or suggested by Earing.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,571

Art Unit: 1772

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Miggins whose telephone number is 571-

272-1494. The examiner can normally be reached on 1:00-10:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

8/22/05

Page 5